APPENDIX A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR PONTOTOC COUNTY

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF CHARLES	)	
AND JANE OKUN CHILDREN	)	
THE AGE OF 18 ALLEGED	)	**J-91-47**
DEPRIVED AS DEFINED BY THE	)	
LAWS OF OKLAHOMA	)	

FILED
Jan. 9, 1992
Wayne Joplin, Court Clerk

ORDER AFTER ADJUDICATORY HEARING

Now on this 3rd day of January, 1992, the above styled and numbered cause comes on for Adjudicatory Hearing. The following persons appeared:

> David Nimmo, Court-appointed attorney for respondents; James and Norma Okun, Parents of respondents; Michelle Fournet, Attorney for parents of respondents; Bailey Cook, Attorney for parents of respondents; Jeremy Kimmelman, Brother of respondents; Frances Okun, Paternal grandmother of respondents; Nancy Shew, Assistant District Attorney.

Upon review of the Court file, the Court finds that the Indian Child Welfare Act does not apply and the true and correct names and date of birth of the respondents are Charles Okun - 6/27/82 and Jane Okun - 1/17/90.

Whereupon the Court heard argument of counsel concerning the Motion to Dismiss filed by counsel for James and Norma Okun. Said motion is denied.

The Court then heard the Motion for Directed Verdict on behalf of James and Norma Okun. Said motion is denied.

The Court proceeded to swear witnesses, hear testimony, and receive exhibits offered by the parties. Based upon all evidence, the Court finds that Charles and Jane Okun are deprived as defined by the laws of the State of Oklahoma, based on the following:

1. The parents, James and Norma Okun, were not able to provide a physically safe environment for the children.

2. There has been mental and emotional abuse of the children by the parents, James and Norma Okun.

3. The parents, James and Norma Okun, are unable to provide a stable home environment in the emotional and psychological sense.

The Court Orders that the children be placed in the temporary custody of the Department of Human Services for appropriate placement pending the dispositional hearing.

The Court Orders as follows:

1. Charlie to remain in counseling as recommended by Dr. Heitland.

2. James and Norma Okun will submit to Dr. Heitland for psychological assessment. testing and evaluation. (In the alternative, James and Norma Okun may submit for such testing to a psychologist agreed to by all parties and approved by Dr. Heitland.)

Any psychologist performing said assessment, testing and evaluation shall provide to the Court the following information concerning James Okun and Norma Okun.

1. Diagnosis

2. Description of Disorder

3. Prognosis

4. Treatment Recommendation

5. Possibility of reunification of the family after the recommended course of treatment has been accomplished

6. What time frame will be needed to fully accomplish the recommended course of treatment.

Any psychologist performing said assessment, testing and evaluation, and providing the Court with the requested information should be advised that James Okun and Norma Okun will be responsible for the payment of all fees and expenses associated therewith.

3. The Department of Human Services will submit a written treatment plan at the time of the dispositional hearing.

4. The Department of Human Services will arrange visits between parents and children only after Charlie has expressed a desire for such visitation.

The Court finds that efforts to prevent the removal of the children from the home were ot made because removal was due to an emergency and was necessary for the children's safety.

All parties are Ordered to reappear on February 28, 1992 at 9:15 A.M. for the Dispositional Hearing without further notice from the Court.

A TRUE COPY **/s/Martha Kilgore**